REMARKS

Applicant replies to the Office Action dated October 18, 2006, within the shortened three month statutory period for reply. Claims 1-6, 11-16, and 25-34 were pending in the application and the Examiner rejects claims 1-6, 11-16, and 25-34. Support for the amendments may be found in the originally-filed specification, claims, and figures. No new matter has been introduced by these amendments. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner rejects claims 6 and 9-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being unpatentable over Northington et al., U.S. Patent No 6,128,608 ("Northington") in view of Dunn et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,134,564 ("Dunn"). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Northington generally discloses a system for automatic consolidation of information from a plurality of financial systems into a single accounting system. Specifically, the Northington system enables an entity to use multiple independent and potentially incompatible financial systems to facilitate, control, and monitor its spending, purchasing and other financial activities in real-time. The automatic consolidation of information includes a reconciliation process, wherein transaction information may be entered into the system in advance of executing the transaction. For example, a user intending to purchase airfare may enter a ticket price along with any other details. When the transaction is actually executed (e.g., the airfare is purchased), then the user again interacts with the system to enter actual transaction details. The Northington system then compares the advanced transaction data with the actual transaction data to determine if non-matching transactions exist and displays them to the user. Matching transactions are automatically reconciled by the system.

Dunn generally discloses a system for reconciling a bank statement against a customer's records. Specifically, the Dunn system retrieves account transaction data from a bank and retrieves spend and deposit information from the customer's information in order to compare the two datasets to search for corresponding transactions. The Dunn system enables an administrator to define various threshold values, which determines the level of scrutiny applied by the system when determining a match. When one or more transactions are found that do not meet the match threshold, the threshold may be lowered and the matching process repeats until all transactions that can be matched, are matched. For transactions that cannot be automatically matched, the

Serial No. 09/943,438 Docket No. 40655.4900

Dunn system displays them alongside a bank statement record to enable a user to manually determine matches.

Northington and Dunn disclose systems that provide automatic reconciliation of transactional data. Each reference further discloses interfaces that enable users to interact with the systems to perform manual reconciliation when discrepancies are found in the data. Those of ordinary skill would appreciate that manual reconciliation of data with discrepancies can be a time-consuming and difficult task. Therefore, it would be advantageous to remove all nonessential transactions from the transaction data prior to performing the reconciliation process, as this would reduce the number of data discrepancies that would require manual reconciliation. However, the cited references are silent as to such transaction removal. As such, neither Northington, Dunn, nor any combination thereof, discloses or suggests at least, "preprocessing said merchant transactions and said charge transactions to remove from said pending list, transactions having offsetting debit values and credit values," as similarly recited by independent claims 1, 11, and 25.

Dependent claims 2-6, 12-16, and 26-34 variously depend from independent claims 1, 11, and 25, therefore dependent claims 2-6, 12-16, and 26-34 are differentiated from the cited reference for at least the same reasons as set forth above, as well as in view of their own respective features.

Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-2814. Applicants invite the Office to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner has any questions regarding this Reply or the present application in general

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 18, 2007

By:

Howard I. Sobelman, Reg. No. 39,038

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Phone: (602) 382-6228

Fax: (602) 382-6070

Email: hsobelman@swlaw.com

1940879